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#### Abstract

The oxidative cyclization of 2-(3-pentenyl)phenol catalyzed by [( $\eta^{3}$ pinene) PdOAc$]_{2}$ gives optically active ( + )-2-vinylchroman ( $25 \%$ e.e.), while ( - )-2-(1-hydroxyethyl)chroman ( $56 \%$ e.e.) is formed as a single diastereomer upon treatment with $\mathrm{t}-\mathrm{BuOOH}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ and $\mathrm{L}-(+)$-diethyl tartrate. 2-(2-Butenyl)phenol also undergoes the Ti-promoted asymmetric cyclization to give ( $2 S, 1^{\prime} R$ )-(-)-2-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran ( $29 \%$ e.e.).


The palladium(II)-catalyzed asymmetric cyclization of 2-(2-butenyl)phenols has been shown to be potentially useful for the synthesis of optically active 2,3-dihydrobenzofurans [1]. Furthermore, the information on the asymmetric induction serves as a useful probe in elucidating the nature of $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II}}$ species in the oxidations of alkenes [2,3]. Our interest in this type of reactions led us to studies on the usefulness of $d^{0}$ early transition metals such as Ti and Mo in the asymmetric cyclizations of 2-alkenylphenols. Described herein is a comparative study on the use of $\mathbf{P d}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV}}$ complexes as mediators in the reaction.

The cyclization of 2-(3-pentenyl)phenol (1a; $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ ) by $\left[\left(\eta^{3}\right.\right.$-pinene) $\mathrm{PdOAc}_{2}$ (2) in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ in MeOH gave optically active 2 -vinylchroman (3a) in $25 \%$ e.e. as a single product (Table 1, entry 1). Introduction of methyl group on the pentenyl $\mathrm{C}(3)$ carbon lowered the rate of cyclization as well as the enantioselectivity ( $3 \%$ e.e., entry 2 ). The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ uptake for the oxidation of $\mathbf{1 a}$ $(R=H)$ was faster by a factor of 3 than that of $1 b(R=M e)$.

When the phenols 1 were treated with t-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) in the presence of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}$ and ( + )-diethyltartrate (DET) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, optically active 2-(1-hydroxyethyl)chroman (4) was obtained as a single diastereomer (Table 1). In this case, the presence of an alkyl substituent on the olefin increases the rate of reaction (entries 3 and 4), and $56 \%$ enantioselectivity was attained with $\mathbf{1 b}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}$ ). Although the carbon-carbon double bond of 1 b is separated from the OH by four carbons, the realization of such a high \% e.e. is remarkable [4].



The asymmetric cyclization of 2-(2-butenyl)phenol (5) by [( $\eta^{3}$-pinene) PdOAc$]_{2}$ (2) catalyst with TBHP in MeOH gives $(S)$-( + )-2-vinyl-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (6) in $17 \%$ e.e. along with $7(6 / 7=83 / 17)$ [3]. When TBHP was replaced by $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as the oxidant, the same result was obtained with respect to the product composition and enantioselectivity, suggesting that the function of $t-\mathrm{BuOOH}$ is virtually the same as that of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

The $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV}}$-mediated asymmetric cyclization of $\mathbf{5}$ under the aforementioned conditions gave a $22 \%$ yield of (-)-2-(1-hydroxyethyl)-2,3-dihydrobenzofuran (8) in $29 \%$ e.e. (Table 1, entry 5). However, no reaction took place with 2-(2-propenyl)phenol. This again indicates that the presence of an alkyl substituent on the olefin facilitates the reaction.

The absolute configuration of the newly created chiral $C(2)$ carbon in $\mathbf{8}$ is assigned as ( $S$ ), since the oxidation with $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ gave ( $S$ )-(-)-2-acetyl-2,3-dihydro-

Table 1
Asymmetric cyclization of 1 and 5 using $\mathrm{Pd}^{\mathrm{II} a}$ or $\mathrm{Ti}^{\mathrm{IV} b}$ complexes

| Entry | Substrate | Metal | Product | Yield <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & {[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}} \\ & \operatorname{deg}\left(c, \mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | \% e.e. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1a | Pd | 3a | $64^{d}$ | +16.0 (0.36) | $25^{*}$ |
| 2 | 1b | Pd | 3b | 78 | -4.30 (0.48) | $3^{f}$ |
| 3 | 1a | Ti | 4a | $<10$ | - | - ${ }^{8}$ |
| 4 | 1b | Ti | 4 b | 49 | 3.71 (0.73) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $56^{1}$ |
| 5 | 5 | Ti | 8 | 22 | -7.42 (1.12) | $29^{\text {i }}$ |

${ }^{\wedge}$ The reaction was performed by using phenol $1(1 \mathrm{mmol})$, complex $2(0.1 \mathrm{mmol})$, and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(0.1$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(1 \mathrm{ml})$ at $35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{O}_{2}(1 \mathrm{~atm})$ for $7-9 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{b}$ The reaction was performed by using phenol $1(3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, $\mathrm{L}-(+)$-DET ( 3.5 mmol ), $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\mathrm{O}^{i} \mathrm{Pr}\right)_{4}(3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$, and TBHP ( 6.0 mmol ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h [4]. ${ }^{c}$ Isolated yield by preparative $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}\right) .{ }^{d} \mathrm{~A}$ lower yield of 3 a , compared to that of 3b, may be due to losses during the isolation. ${ }^{e}$ The $\%$ e.e. was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} N \mathrm{NR}$ with Eu(tfc) ${ }_{3}$ ( $\mathrm{tfc}=$ tris[3-(trifluoromethylhydroxymethylene)- $d$-camphorate]) through 2-methoxycarbonylchroman derived from 3a by its $\mathrm{MnO}_{4}$ oxidation followed by esterification with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. ${ }^{f}$ The \% e,e. was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR through MTPA ester of 2-hydroxymethyl-2-methylchroman derived from $\mathbf{3 b}$ by ozonolysis followed by $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ reduction. ${ }^{g}$ Not determined. ${ }^{h}$ Measured in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. ${ }^{i}$ The $\%$ e.e. was determined by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR upon addition of $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{tfc})_{3}$.

benzofuran (9) of known configuration [3]. The reduction of 9 with $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$ gave the


two diastereomers of 8 which have $J\left(\mathrm{H}(2)-\mathrm{H}\left(1^{\prime}\right)\right)$ values of 3.4 and 6.6 Hz in ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, corresponding to the erythro and threo isomers, respectively [5]. Comparison of the $J$ value allows us to assign ( - )-8 ( $3.4 \mathrm{Hz)} \mathrm{to} \mathrm{the} \mathrm{erythro} \mathrm{isomer} \mathrm{of} \mathrm{( } 2 S, 1^{\prime} R$ ) configuration. The product (-)-4a having $J 3.7 \mathrm{~Hz}$ must have the same configuration. The cyclization seems to involve the epoxidation of olefin and subsequent ring opening with the phenoxy group in an $S_{N} 2$ and the configuration of the product agrees with the process.

Oxidative cyclization of 2-alkenylphenols with TBHP is induced by titanium(IV) as well as palladium(II). Obviously, the Ti-promoted reaction involves a metal alkylperoxide species ( TiOOBu ), and the oxygen bonded to the metal is electrophilically transferred to the olefin to give the epoxide [6]. The alkyl substituent on the olefin thus increases the rate of reaction. On the other hand, no palladium alkylperoxide ( $\mathrm{PdOOBu}^{l}$ ) [7] seems to participate in the oxidative cyclization. The reaction proceeds by coordination of the olefin to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {II }}$ followed by intramolecular

oxypalladation to give the intermediate 10 . The steric effect of alkyl group on the olefin hinders the coordination to $\mathrm{Pd}^{\text {II }}$, and the reactivity and enantioselectivity become less. The PdHX species formed by $\beta$-elimination from 10 is oxidized by TBHP, generating a catalytically active species, such as $\mathrm{Pd}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{X}$ [3]. The behaviour of TBHP is completely different from that in the Ti system.
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